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Bi(OTf)₃-mediated intramolecular epoxide opening for bicyclic azepane synthesis

Sha Zhu, Anh Tuan Tran, Yuki Hirokami, Geoffrey Gontard, Omar Khaled, Yongmin Zhang, Atsushi Kato, Yves Blériot, and Matthieu Sollogoub

ABSTRACT
While an epoxide on a sugar-derived aziridine studying was opened, an unexpected formation of bicyclic compounds was observed. The structure of these bicycles depended on the nature of the protecting group on the nitrogen atom of the aziridine. These compounds appeared to be weak glycosidase inhibitors.

INTRODUCTION
Glycosidases, enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of oligosaccharides, are involved in many therapeutic phenomena. Searching for new and efficient inhibitors of such enzymes is thus the object of extensive research. Iminosugars, sugar analogs in which the endocyclic oxygen atom is replaced by a nitrogen atom, have been thoroughly studied and demonstrated high inhibition potential. Several applications of iminosugars for the treatment of lysosomal storage disorder, HIV infection, viral infection, Alzheimer disease or cancer have already been approved. Today, three drugs, Zavesca, Glyset and Galafold, have been marketed for the treatment of Gaucher, type II-diabetes and Fabry diseases, respectively.

Our group has been focused on the synthesis and evaluation of original five (A), six (B), seven (C)-membered ring and unnatural ether-bridged iminosugars (D, E) as shown in Figure 1. Some of them have proven to be interesting molecules notably as inhibitors of N-acetyl-
glycosaminidases. Numerous original molecules were disclosed exploiting one key building block, the tribenzylated azacycloheptene F, due to its ability to be further functionalized either through dihydroxylation or epoxidation at the double bond. Thus, we have synthesized numerous potent glycosidase inhibitors using a nucleophilic opening of epoxyazepanes. We have previously synthesized β, γ-trans-typed pentahydroxylated azepanes via epoxide opening with water under harsh acidic or basic conditions. However, disappointing results both in terms of yields and stereoselectivity hampered the use of this transformation to access iminosugars. Hence, the improvement of this reaction is of interest. As the carbamate-based protecting group at the N-endocyclic position is not compatible with the use of hydroxide or harsh basic conditions, we reasoned that activation of the epoxide by a Lewis acid should lower the activation energy of the reaction and favor the formation of products under milder conditions. A similar approach has been reported by Behr et al. 

**Results and discussion**

Bismuth triflate (Bi(OTf)₃) has been used as a Lewis acid catalyst in many organic transformations and is very attractive due to its strong Lewis acidity together with low toxicity, low cost and good stability. Taking into account that Bi(OTf)₃ has been used as catalyst for epoxide opening, we envisaged using Bi(OTf)₃ in the presence of water to prepare 1,2-trans diols.

Epoxidation of azacycloheptenes 1a and 1b were first studied. We recently reported that modified Shi’s procedure with slow addition of ketone I gave epoxide 2a in a stereoselective manner (55%). This optimized procedure was also applied successfully to 1b, furnishing 2b in a 60% yield. Interestingly, CF₃COCH₃/oxone could also stereoselectively mediate the epoxidation but in favor of epoxides 2a’ (51%) and 2b’ (55%).

With these epoxides in hand, we treated them with Bi(OTf)₃ (10 mol%) in a mixture of toluene and water (9/1). Epoxide 2a was totally consumed after

![Figure 1. Iminosugars reported by our groups.](image-url)
1 h of stirring at 100 °C. Interestingly, the expected β,γ-dihydroxy azepanes were not observed, but a bicyclic carbamate 3 was produced in a 50% yield along with non-isolable side products (Table 1). The structure of 3 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (CCDC 1015485) and its formation can be rationalized by the nucleophilic addition of the carbamate on the closest carbon of the epoxide. Indeed, similar intramolecular epoxide opening has been reported by Hayes using Ti(OiPr)_4 in stoichiometric quantity.\cite{18} Rather than problematic, this unexpected product is of interest as it demonstrated the possibility for regioselective epoxide opening leading to β,γ-trans-dihydroxyazepane. Better yields (60%) were obtained when the reaction was performed in dried toluene (Table 1, entry 1). The same product was isolated.

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** Epoxidation of Azacycloheptenes 1a and 1b. Conditions A: Oxone, ketone I, Bu₄NOH, NaHCO₃, CH₃CN/10⁻⁴ M Na₂EDTA, 0 °C. Conditions B: Oxone, ketone II, NaHCO₃, CH₃CN/4x10⁻⁴ M Na₂EDTA, 0 °C.

**Table 1.** Synthesis of bicyclic carbamate 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Yield of 3 (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>t-Bu</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>t-Bu</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>t-Bu</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Traces&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Isolated yield.

<sup>b</sup>Reaction was carried out for 24 h.
when the reaction was performed with epoxide 2b but in lower yields (30%, Table 1, entry 2). Lowering the temperature provided a satisfying 78% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Only traces of product were observed when the reaction was carried out at room temperature despite long reaction times.

Subsequently, the Bi(OTf)$_3$-mediated intramolecular epoxide opening was also studied with epoxides 2a’ and 2b’. Using the optimized conditions, the expected cyclic carbamate 4 was not observed. While 2a’ gave an inseparable mixture of compounds, reaction of 2b’ afforded two ether-bridged compounds 5 (21%) and 6 (59%) (Scheme 2). The formation of these compounds was probably resulted from the S$_N$2 nucleophilic addition of C$_8$ benzylether on both carbons of the epoxide. We can suppose that nucleophilic attack occurred preferentially on the sterically less hindered position (C$_6$) leading the formation of the major product. The intramolecular epoxide opening was also reported by Nemoto et al.[19]

Hydrogenolysis of compounds 3, 5, 6 gave unprotected bicyclic compounds 7, 8, 9 (Scheme 3). These three structurally original bicyclic compounds were evaluated as inhibitors of a panel of glycosidases. Interestingly, derivatives 8 and 9 show weak but surprising specific inhibition activity toward β-glucuronidase from E. coli with IC$_{50}$ being 372 and 368 μM respectively (Table 2).

In summary, Bi(OTf)$_3$ can be used to access a variety of bicyclic compounds from epoxy-azepanes, which were shown to be relatively weak glycosidase inhibitors when deprotected. Other Lewis acids may be tried to assess the generality of this reactivity.
Experimental

Material and methods

All commercial reagents were used as supplied. TLC plates (Macherey-Nagel, ALUGRAM® SIL G/UV\textsubscript{254}, 0.2 mm silica gel 60 Å) were visualized under 254 nm UV light and/or by dipping the TLC plate into a solution of 3 g of phosphomolybdic acid in 100 mL of ethanol followed by heating with a heat gun. Flash column chromatography was performed using...
Macherey-Nagel silica gel 60 (15–40 μm). NMR experiments were recorded with a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer at 400 MHz for $^1$H nuclei and at 100 MHz for $^{13}$C nuclei. The chemical shifts are expressed in part per million (ppm) using residual CHCl$_3$ signal as internal reference ($\delta(^1$$H$) = 7.26 ppm and $\delta(^{13}$$C$) = 77.16 ppm) and the coupling constant $J$ in hertz (Hz). NMR multiplicities are reported using the following abbreviations: b = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quadruplet, m = multiplet. HRMS were recorded on a Bruker microTOF spectrometer, using Tuning-Mix as reference. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341 digital polarimeter or a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter with a path length of 1 dm.

**Compound 3.** To a solution of 2a (136 mg, 0.25 mmol) and Bi(OTf)$_3$ (33 mg, 0.05 mmol) in dry toluene (12 mL) was stirred at 60°C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography (cyclohexane/AcOEt: 100/0–80/20) to give 3 (95 mg, 78%) as white solid. Recrystallization with CH$_2$Cl$_2$/Cyclohexane allowed obtaining the crystal which was analyzed by X-ray analysis. Mp = 139°C. [α]$^{24}_{D}$ = +10.8 (c = 0.55, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.26–7.19 (m, 13H, Har), 7.11–7.09 (m, 2H, Har), 4.79 (d, 1H, $^2J$ = 10.5 Hz, CH$_2$Ph), 4.75 (d, 1H, $^2J$ = 10.5 Hz, CH$_2$Ph), 4.56–4.53 (m, 2H, CH$_2$Ph, H$_6$), 4.41 (d, 1H, $^2J$ = 10.5 Hz, CH$_2$Ph), 4.32–4.29 (m, 2H, 2xCH$_2$Ph), 4.17 (t, 1H, $^J$H$_3$–H$_4$ = $^J$H$_3$–H$_2$ = 9.5 Hz, H$_3$), 4.06–4.04 (m, 1H, H$_5$), 3.68–3.58 (m, 5H, H$_8a$, H$_8b$, H$_2$, H$_4$, H$_7a$), 3.34 (ddd, 1H, $^J$H$_7b$–H$_5$ = 1.0 Hz, $^J$H$_7b$–H$_7a$ = 13.0 Hz, H$_7a$); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.0 (CO), 138.0, 137.9, 137.5 (C$_{ipso}$), 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6 (CH$_{ar}$), 81.8 (C$_4$), 77.6 (C$_6$), 75.5 (CH$_2$Ph), 74.9 (C$_5$), 75.0, 73.2 (CH$_2$Ph), 69.6 (C$_8$), 69.4 (C$_3$), 61.2 (C$_2$), 44.9 (C$_7$). HRMS calcd for C$_{29}$H$_{31}$NO$_6$: [MH]$^+$: 490.2230 found 490.2222.

**Compound 5 and 6.** A mixture of 2b' (90 mg, 0.155 mmol) and Bi(OTf)$_3$ (20 mg, 0.031 mmol) in dry toluene (8.0 mL) was stirred at 100°C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography (cyclohexane/AcOEt: 8/2–7/3 to 80) to give 5 (16 mg, 21%) as clear oil and 6 (44 mg, 59%). Compound 5 [α]$^{16}_{D}$ = −28.5 (c = 1.0, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 2 rotamers in 1:0.8 ratio) $\delta$ 7.38–7.23 (m, 27H, H$_{ar}$), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH$_2$Ph), 5.15 (s, 1.6H, CH$_2$Ph), 4.83–4.81 (m, 1H, H$_2$), 4.68–4.61 (m, 3H, CH$_2$Ph), 4.57–4.45 (m, 5H, CH$_2$Ph, H$_2'$), 4.37 (dd, 0.8H, $^J$H$_{7a'}$–H$_6'$ = 6.5 Hz, $^J$H$_{7a'}$–H$_7b'$ = 14.0 Hz, H$_{7a'}$) 4.20 (dd, 1H, $^J$H$_{7a}$–H$_6$ = 6.0 Hz, $^J$H$_{7a}$–H$_{7b}$ = 14.0 Hz, H$_{7a}$), 4.14 (brs, 1.8H, H$_5$, H$_5'$), 4.05–3.95 (m, 4.6H, H$_{8a}$, H$_4$, H$_4'$, H$_6$, H$_6'$), 3.92–3.79 (m, 4.4H, H$_{8a'}$, H$_{8b}$, H$_{8b'}$, H$_3$, H$_3'$), 3.29
(dd, 1H, J\textsubscript{H7b-H6} = 9.5 Hz, J\textsubscript{H7b-H7a} = 14.0 Hz, H\textsubscript{7b}), 3.22 (dd, 0.8H, J\textsubscript{H7b'-H6} = 10.0 Hz, J\textsubscript{H7b'-H7a'} = 14.0 Hz, H\textsubscript{7b'}). 2.12 (s, 1.8H, OH, OH\textsuperscript{2}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 2 rotamers in 1:0.8 ratio) δ 155.8, 155.7 (CO, Cbz), 137.7, 137.7, 137.4, 137.3, 136.3, 136.3 (C\textsubscript{ipso}), 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, (CH\textsubscript{ar}), 78.6 (C\textsubscript{4}'), 78.5 (C\textsubscript{4}), 77.6 (C\textsubscript{3}'), 77.2 (C\textsubscript{3}), 77.1 (C\textsubscript{5}'), 70.9, 70.7, 70.6 (C\textsubscript{6}, C\textsubscript{6}'), 4xCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 67.7, 67.6 (CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 66.1 (C\textsubscript{8}), 65.6 (C\textsubscript{8}'), 50.4 (C\textsubscript{2}), 50.0 (C\textsubscript{2}), 45.3 (C\textsubscript{7}), 44.9 (C\textsubscript{7}'). HRMS calcd for C\textsubscript{29}H\textsubscript{31}NNaO\textsubscript{6}: [M Na\textsuperscript{+}]: 512.2049 found 512.2052.

Compound 6 [\textsuperscript{a}] \textsuperscript{19}D = −29.9 (c = 1.0, CHCl\textsubscript{3}); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 2 rotamers in 1:0.7 ratio) δ 7.44–7.20 (m, 25.5H, H\textsubscript{ar}), 5.25 (d, 1H, 2J = 12.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 5.07–5.01 (m, 1.7H, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 4.87 (d, 1H, 2J = 11.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 4.70–4.61 (m, 3.4H, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph, H\textsubscript{2}), 4.56 (d, 1H, 2J = 11.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 4.49 (d, 0.7H, 2J = 11.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 4.41 (d, 1H, J\textsubscript{H2}=2.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{2}), 3.90–3.88 (m, 1H, H\textsubscript{6}), 3.84–3.56 (m, 1.2H, H\textsubscript{8b}, H\textsubscript{8b}', H\textsubscript{3}, H\textsubscript{3}', H\textsubscript{4}, H\textsubscript{4}', H\textsubscript{5}, H\textsubscript{5}', H\textsubscript{7a}, H\textsubscript{7a}', H\textsubscript{7b}, H\textsubscript{7b}'); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 2 rotamers in 1:0.7 ratio) δ 158.8, 158.2 (CO, Cbz), 138.8, 138.7, 137.7, 137.6, 136.5, 136.4 (C\textsubscript{ipso}), 128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, (CH\textsubscript{ar}), 85.3 (C\textsubscript{4}'), 85.2 (C\textsubscript{4}), 83.6 (C\textsubscript{5}'), 83.5 (C\textsubscript{5}'), 75.7 (C\textsubscript{3}'), 75.6 (C\textsubscript{3}), 75.4, 75.3, 71.6, 71.5 (4xCH\textsubscript{2}Ph), 71.3 (C\textsubscript{6}'), 70.8 (C\textsubscript{6}), 67.5 (C\textsubscript{8}), 51.3 (C\textsubscript{2}), 51.0 (C\textsubscript{2}), 42.9 (C\textsubscript{7}), 42.7 (C\textsubscript{7}'); HRMS calcd for C\textsubscript{29}H\textsubscript{31}NNaO\textsubscript{6}: [M Na\textsuperscript{+}]: 512.2049 found 512.2042.

Compound 7. To a solution of 3 (11 mg, 0.022 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added aqueous HCl (1M, 0.1 mL) under argon. After adding Pd/C (10%, 10 mg), the argon was removed. The H\textsubscript{2} was introduced and the mixture was bubbled for 5 min. After stirring the solution for 24 under H\textsubscript{2} atmosphere, the mixture was filtered on micro-filter 0.3 \textmu m). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}/MeOH: 8.5/1.5) to give the desired product (3.5 mg, 71%). \textsuperscript{[a]}\textsuperscript{22}D = +2.8 (c = 0.55, MeOH); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) δ 4.61 (t, 1H, J\textsubscript{H6-H7a} = J\textsubscript{H6-H5} = 4.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{6}), 4.05 (ddd, 1H, J\textsubscript{H5-H7b} = 1.0 Hz, J\textsubscript{H5-H4} = 2.5 Hz, J\textsubscript{H5-H6} = 4.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{5}), 3.93 (t, 1H, J\textsubscript{H3-H2} = J\textsubscript{H3-H4} = 9.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{3}), 3.86 (dd, 1H, J\textsubscript{H8a-H2} = 3.0 Hz, J\textsubscript{H8a-H8b} = 11.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{8a}), 3.74 (dd, 1H, J\textsubscript{H8b-H2} = 6.5 Hz, J\textsubscript{H8b-H8a} = 11.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{8b}), 3.56 (d, 1H, J\textsubscript{H7a-H7b} = 12.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{7a}), 3.53 (dd, J\textsubscript{H4-H5} = 2.5 Hz, J\textsubscript{H4-H3} = 9.5 Hz, H\textsubscript{4}), 3.41–3.34 (m, 2H, H\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{7b}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD) δ 168.0 (CO), 80.2 (C\textsubscript{6}), 72.8 (C\textsubscript{4}), 71.4 (C\textsubscript{5}), 68.5 (C\textsubscript{3}), 66.3 (C\textsubscript{2}), 62.8 (C\textsubscript{8}), 44.8 (C\textsubscript{7}); HRMS calcd for C\textsubscript{8}H\textsubscript{14}NO\textsubscript{6}: [MH\textsuperscript{+}]: 220.0821 found 220.0820.
**Compound 8.** To a solution of 5 (13 mg, 0.027 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added aqueous HCl (1M, 0.2 mL) under argon. After adding Pd/C (10%, 13 mg), the argon was removed. The H₂ was introduced and the mixture was bubbled for 5 min. After stirring the solution for 24 h under H₂ atmosphere, the mixture was filtered on micro-filter 0.3 μm). The solvent was evaporated to give the desired product (4.2 mg, 75%). [$\gamma$]$^{22}_D$ = +7.0 (C = 0.3, MeOH); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) $\delta$ 4.32 (ddd, $J_{H6-H5}$ = 3.0 Hz, $J_{H6-H7a}$ = 5.5 Hz, $J_{H6-H7b}$ = 9.0 Hz, $H_6$), 4.22–4.12 (m, 4H, $H_8a$, $H_8b$, $H_3$, $H_4$), 4.10 (d, 1H, $J_{H5-H6}$ = 3.0 Hz, $H_5$), 4.00 (t, $J_{H2-H8a}$ = $J_{H2-H8b}$ = 3.0 Hz, $H_2$), 3.88 (dd, 1H, $J_{H7a-H7b}$ = 1.0 Hz, $J_{H7a-H7b}$ = 14.5 Hz, $H_7b$), 3.69 (t, 1H, $J_{H4-H3}$ = $J_{H4-H5}$ = 9.0 Hz, $H_4$), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{H7b-H6}$ = 1.0 Hz, $J_{H7b-H7a}$ = 14.5 Hz, $H_7b$); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) $\delta$ 80.3 (C5), 71.3 (C4), 70.1 (C3), 67.5 (C6), 62.4 (C1), 53.5 (C2), 42.8 (C7); HRMS calcd for C$_7$H$_{14}$NO$_4$: [MH]$^+$: 176.0917 found 176.0920.

**Compound 9.** To a solution of 6 (15 mg, 0.031 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added aqueous HCl (1M, 0.2 mL) under argon. After adding Pd/C (10%, 15 mg), the argon was removed. The H₂ was introduced and the mixture was bubbled for 5 min. After stirring the solution for 24 h under H₂ atmosphere, the mixture was filtered on micro-filter 0.3 μm). The solvent was evaporated to give the desired product (5.5 mg, 84%). [$\gamma$]$^{22}_D$ = −15.8 (C = 0.3, MeOH); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) $\delta$ 4.24 (dd, $J_{H8a-H2}$ = 3.0 Hz, $J_{H8a-H8b}$ = 12.5 Hz, $H_8a$), 4.16–4.08 (m, 3H, $H_8b$, $H_3$, $H_6$), 3.88 (dd, 1H, $J_{H5-H7a}$ = 1.0 Hz, $J_{H5-H6}$ = 2.5 Hz, $J_{H5-H4}$ = 9.0 Hz, $H_5$), 3.83–3.78 (m, 2H, $H_7a$, $H_2$), 3.69 (t, 1H, $J_{H4-H3}$ = $J_{H4-H5}$ = 9.0 Hz, $H_4$), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{H7b-H6}$ = 1.0 Hz, $J_{H7b-H7a}$ = 14.5 Hz, $H_7b$); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O) $\delta$ 74.3 (C4), 74.2 (C5), 72.6 (C3), 69.4 (C6), 62.2 (C8), 55.6 (C2), 39.0 (C7); HRMS calcd for C$_7$H$_{14}$NO$_4$: [MH]$^+$: 176.0917 found 176.0914.

Crystal data for 3. Colorless needles: C$_{29}$H$_{31}$NO$_6$, orthorhombic, P 2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$, a = 6.1055(3), b = 13.7134(6), c = 30.6466(16) Å, V = 2566.0(2) Å$^3$, Z = 4, T = 200(2) K, $\mu =$ 5.233 mm$^{-1}$, 10288 reflections measured, 4467 independent (R$_{int}$ = 0.0401), 3363 observed [I $\geq$ 2$\sigma$(I)], 326 parameters, final R indices R$_1$ [I $\geq$ 2$\sigma$(I)] = 0.0482 and wR$_2$ (all data) = 0.1178, GOF on F$^2$ = 1.042, max/min residual electron density = 0.13/−0.19 e.Å$^{-3}$. CCDC 1015485 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

A single crystal of the compound was mounted onto a cryoloop. Intensity data were collected at 200K with a BRUKER Kappa-APEXII diffractometer with micro-focused Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54178Å). Data collection and data reduction were performed within APEX2 suite, with SAINT and SADABS programs (BRUKER). In the WinGX suite of
programs\textsuperscript{[20]}, the structure was solved with Sir92\textsuperscript{[21]} program and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using SHELXL-97\textsuperscript{[22]}.
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